Coded		Section of Plan commented			Support	Additional comments and
Ref	Code	on (ref)	Comment	Response to comment	Y/N	action taken
REG1449	1 3		rural surroundings deserve more attention - unique landscape which crosses boundaries of land use - field patterns. SSSI -unique culm grassland, ancient woodland, footpath networks, river valley, Roman fort - worth shouting about	Agree: Will add Culm Grassland on page 8. But SSSI, ancient woodland, footpaths, river valley and Roman fort are all mentioned already		Added wording to introduction
NLO1443	1.5	Conservation	Roman fort - worth shouting about	fort are all mentioned already		introduction
REG1421	2.1		Vision statement should be strengthened & be more aspirational. Suggest adopting working groups to address/continue the themes of the plan and to progress aspirations i.e. parking, economic development, retail & general environment	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan is a planning document which we hope will inspire community involvement in aspects which cannot be dealt with through the plan process, such aspects are also included in the list in CO3 for community enhancement. Additional comment to be added in monitoring and review section	Y	Comment added to Section 4 Monitoing & Review
REG1449	2.2	Aims	Include an aim to have better influence on West Devon Planning	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan must conform to the WD JLP & National Planning Policy; but the plan aims to be aspirational and forward thinking in its objectives and policies		Once adopted the NP will influence the planning authority's decisions

REG1411	СН		Would like local communal green spaces to be included in new developments and a positive increase in biodiversity and wildlife in developments	Agreed & Noted: for additional inclusion in HO1 in accordance with the NPPF which states that a new development should not have a detrimental effect on biodiversity or wildlife, to strengthen this policy		Added to HO1 which is now HO2 in the ammended plan
REG1453	СН	CH Objectives	Terminology needs to reflect NPPF - protect is not featured within NPPF.		Υ	changed wording to protected and conserved.
REG1424	СН	CH Appendix 1	Please ensure that publicly lit areas are kept to a minimum with lights being directed downwards to avoid this serious form of pollution.	Noted: Please see Town Design Statement	Y	
			Allotment space (500sqm) - LGS designation (map section 6) included within planning application - the actual location is purely indicative at this stage, however not in dispute with Neighbourhood Plan LGS			
REG1403	CH1	CH1	allocation. Where are the Batheway	Noted Noted: Please refer to sub- committee of NT TC the Section 106	Υ	
REG1407	CH1	1. CONSERVING	Allotments? Ref: Objective 1 CONSERVING NATURAL & HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT - Local green space	monitoring group	Y	
REG1411	CH1	1. CONSERVING	development, will lead to infill and change the boundary, changing the rural setting of the town	Noted	Υ	

REG1411	CH1		Desire for the whole of the depot site to be designated as a local green space and not just part of the site, as it was felt natural green space is lacking within the town		
REG1418	CH1		Pleased with protection of existing green spaces. Where is green space in the new housing developments?	Noted: for additional inclusion in HO1 for communal green spaces in new developments (Please also see Town Design Statement) and that in new developments green space is designated as such and therefore protected from future development	Added to HO1 which is now HO2 in the ammended plan
REG1451	CH1		The Depot - development will contravene LGS objective (however refers to this space as dog walking area). Concern that would extend town boundary - danger of infill.	Not agreed: NT is fortunate to have a large area of green space (both natural and managed) within the Neighbourhood Plan area. Please also see the call for sites section (theme 4)within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site	
REG1452		CH1 Butts	Butts Field - no recreational or leisure purposes since 1997, no public access	Noted: Although the Neighbourhood Plan does not make reference to the site as recreational space	

REG1453	CH1	CH1	LGS requires further evidence to justify designation	Noted: Action to provide further evidence through an assessment against WD LGS criteria	Further assessment completed of LGS using WD and NPPF criteria - added as appendix CH5 to the plan
REG1452	CH1		Not been demonstrated that Butts field meets the criteria for LGS set out in the NPPF - reasons in Appendix 3 lacks detail, are largely generic and do not demonstrate particular local significance. Response outlines in detail why this LGS designation doesn't comply with criteria - Plan doesn't offer justification or evidence so should not be identified as a Local Green Space.	Not Agreed: The area of the Butts field is in accordance with Neighbourhood PlanPF criterion of LGS. (1.) The green space is in close proximity to the community (2.) 91% of respondents to the LGS consultation agreed to the designation of Butts field due to its beauty, historic use and tranquillity (3). The green space is local in character being of an agricultural use, reflective of the local vernacular and is not an extensive tract of land	Further assessment of LGS has been undertaken using NPPF and WD criteria (appendix CH5. Butts field remains a designated LGS
REG1452	CH1	Settlement Boundary 1.6b	Objects to exclusion of Butts Field from within the Settlement Boundary. Boundary should be amended to include site at southwest of North Tawton (see EP1 Appendix in Emery response)	Not Agreed: We do not feel it is appropriate to extend the settlement boundary to include the Butts Field as it does not possess the vehicular access required for development. Direct access could only be achieved through the demolition of the Fire Station a vital local asset and employer. While also there is no robust evidence that this site is needed for housing development	

		Diagond to soo that an			
CUID	CUID		Natad		
CHZ	CH2	protection of views.	noted		
			Noted: The Neighbourh and Dlaw has		
			· ·		
CU2	CUD	suggests view from Wildridge Lane			
СПZ	СП2	suggests view from wildridge Lane	members of the community		
			Not Agrand: Posidants faal this is an		
		There is no indication that Bolicy			
			· ·		
		,			
CH2	CH2				
CITZ	CITZ	1 11 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11			
CH2	CH2	, , , ,	_	V	Vording changed in CH2
CITZ	CITZ	or local materials			vorumg changea in Criz
			-		
		Conservation area appraisal of 1996	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CH2				5	entence added
	CH2 CH2 CH2	CH2 CH2 CH2 CH2	CH2 CH2 suggests view from Wildridge Lane There is no indication that Policy CH2 is necessary as design guidance is addressed through existing development plan policies and should be deleted raised point about stifling innovation and potential cost of specifying use CH2 CH2 of local materials Conservation area appraisal of 1996	Understanding of the area's local distinctiveness has informed Protection of views. Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan has tried to protect amenity views within CH3, however this particular view was not highlighted as part of the previous consultation process by members of the community CH2 CH2 suggests view from Wildridge Lane There is no indication that Policy CH2 is necessary as design guidance is addressed through existing development plan policies and our policy is in accordance with the development plan. CH2 CH2 should be deleted development plan. CH3 CH4 CH4 of local materials CH5 CH5 CH5 of local materials CH6 CH6 CH7 CH8 of local materials CH8 CH9 CH9 of local materials CH9 OF LOCATION O	understanding of the area's local distinctiveness has informed CH2 CH2 protection of views. Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan has tried to protect amenity views within CH3, however this particular view was not highlighted as part of the previous consultation process by members of the community CH2 Suggests view from Wildridge Lane Not Agreed: Residents feel this is an important issue and wish to see it included in the plan, we are pleased is addressed through existing development plan policies and our policy is in accordance with the development plan. CH2 CH2 should be deleted development plan. CH3 ch4 with Mainhomes is in agreement that our policy is in accordance with the development plan. CH4 ch4 add sentence on p 22 - at end of 2nd paragraph after 'Town Design Statement of 2004 and will be reviewed and updated by the appropriate authority in the near

REG1411	СНЗ		The development of the depot site will affect the amenity view of that area from Bouchers Hill	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan has tried to protect amenity views within CH3, however this particular view was not highlighted as part of the previous consultation process by members of the community		
REG1418	СНЗ	СНЗ	Impact of Batheway fields (phase 1) on landscape	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan can only influence future developments. The Neighbourhood Plan has tried to protect amenity views within CH3 as suggested by the community through the consultation process	Y	
REG1451	СНЗ	СНЗ	Depot part of the Amenity view from Bouchers Hill therefore development shouldn't be supported.	Not agreed: The depot does not form part of this amenity view		
REG1452	СНЗ	СНЗ	Policy not supported by robust or proportionate technical evidence - only subjective assessment of respondents to questionnaire. No justification for views from Butts Way are vital in maintaining town's rural setting. Policy should be deleted.	Not Agreed: Local amenity views and landscape character can only be based on a local evidence base which will be subjective and must be reflective of what is important to the local community.		
REG1449			importance of Fire Station	Noted: Add to employment (16 employed) and page 35		Added to list of employers in Economy section

REG1432	CO		Policy CO states assets of community value will be protected from loss. Depot site is included in the list and is registered as an asset of community value. It should be withdrawn from consideration for housing development. In our view housing on this site would not qualify as sustainable under the governments criteria in the Neighbourhood PlanPF (para 9) as it would result in net loss for wildlife.	Noted: Assessment of each site listed within the Call for Sites process has been carried out using an objective assessment matrix. As a result of this process this site has been included as an allocated site within the plan.	N	Assessment matrix added as an appendix to the plan
REG1453			suggests removing word 'provide' in objective	Agreed: replace 'provide' with 'to foster a community in which individuals and families can thrive, where facilities and services are	IV	Objective wording changed
REG1403		Í	Asset of Community Value (ACV) - wording of draft policy CO1 - criteria - amend to require at least one of the criteria rather than all 3 in every circumstance - replace or with and/or at the end of each criterion. Depot scheme would fail against criteria 1 and 2.	Agreed: Address wording and criteria	V	Changes made to criteria and wording in CO1

REG1429	CO1	CO1	Welcomes policy. Urges better collaboration between facilities to ensure maintenance of services e.g. combining shops and small businesses in same premises; joint working between sports clubs and churches. Joint bids for grant funding always more successful. Important to preserve existing	Noted: ensure that CO1 does not hinder this kind of collaboration	Υ	
DEC1440	601	2 CONANALINIT	facilities while looking at future	A run a d	V	
REG1440	COI	2. COMMUNIT	expansion	Agreed	Υ	
REG1451	CO1	CO1	Depot should be protected from loss	Noted: Please also see the call for sites section within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site		
REG1453	CO1	CO1	recommending additional wording to acknowledge permitted development rules	Agreed: We need to add permitted development. We keep one policy as loss of facilities and then another policy to make it clear that permitted development refers to change of use in classes A & D		Following further discussion with WD NP officer no changes made to this policy.
REG1411	CO1/E1	2. COMMUNIT	Rate reductions for new businesses to help them establish. 12 months marketing too short - 24 months better (rate reduction mentioned again)	Noted: However business rates are controlled by WDBC and cannot be influenced by the Neighbourhood Plan process. Not agree: The Neighbourhood Plan were advised that 12 months is acceptable	Υ	

REG1434	CO2	CO2	What happened to the plan to level the football pitch in the park?	Noted: 106 funding available and plans are to proceed as soon as possible	Υ	Work is underway on the Memorial Park
REG1407	CO3	со	Enforcement for parking.	Noted: Concerns noted however parking enforcement cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group and DCC parking enforcement	Y	
			Emphasise inclusion of "green	Noted for additional tool at a tra		Included within HO1, which is
REG1409	CO3	2. COMMUNIT	space"& play areas in ALL new developments	Noted: for additional inclusion in HO1 (Please see design statement)	Υ	now HO2 in the ammended plan
REG1411	CO3	2. COMMUNIT	Traffic & Transport plan vital	Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. Requirements for traffic and transport plans will be essential in order for planning permission to be granted and are linked to specific developments. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group and Devon Highways	Υ	

REG1446	CO3	CO3	use of term '106 agreements'	Noted: Section 106 definition is set out on page 33 of the Neighbourhood Plan for reference.	Y	
REG1453	CO3	CO3	CIL unlikely to be adopted in near future. S106 cannot be used for historic funding shortfalls for facilities with no relationship with proposal site	Agreed: Put 'proposers of developmentof the local community' at the start of the policy. Change to 'should contribute towards local priority projects that help mitigate the impacts of a development. Examples of priority projects for the plan area are: replace purchase of the football club and flood lighting with - enhance the sport and recreational facilities within the town; continued development and upgrading of the Memorial Park; creation of new allotments;		Changes made to this policy following further discussion with WD NP officer.
REG1408	E	3. ECONOMY	Retail - People "head out of town" (to shop) means the closure of local shops due to location of new developments isolating them from the town centre	Noted: Please see CO1 & E4 which supports the protection of local shops and E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments and E5 transport and parking to improve parking provision and access to local facilities	Υ	
REG1430	E	3. ECONOMY	Encourage new businesses into local shops	addressed this in E4	Υ	

REG1435	E		The eyesore of the Woollen Mill should be developed. If policy is for only smaller developments (no more than 12 dwellings) is there scope for gradual redevelopment of the site in 3 smaller parcels.	assessment matrix. As a result this	Υ	Assessment matrix added as an appendix to the plan. Sites allocated within HO1 includes the Woollen Mill.
REG1445		Economy	Would like to see evidence that Gregory and Taw Valley are a significant feature within the local economy. Doesn't believe they employ many local (NT) residents. Provides a false picture of employment in the town which could be used as reason for more development - requires substantiating.	Not Agreed: Local large industry supports the local economy not just through direct employment but supports other services used by businesses and the local agricultural holdings.	Y	
REG1446		Economy	Questions regular bus service to and from Okehampton	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan process		
REG1448	E	Economy	Future of shops - Butchers - growing population. Demolish old Pharmacy (Exeter St) & replace with car park	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan seeks to encourage new employment opportunities through Economy policies and retain the retail opportunity through CO1. The Neighbourhood Plan does not have influence over existing privately owned properties or their future.		

REG1449	E	Conservation & Economy	Farmers don't get a mention - important part of community - economic, visual and structural impacts - suggests link to www.devon.gov.uk/dca-33highTawfarmland.	Noted: Strengthen reference to the agricultural holdings and farms within the economy section and on page 8 with "North Tawton is a largely rural parish - 2,400 hectares of predominantly actively managed farmland.	Didn't include the link as website may change during the life of the plan. Wording changed in the introduction.
REG1449	E	Economy	Visual impact of major industries underplayed in plan. Better liaison with major industries - regular meetings to explore mutual opportunities. Importance and contribution of Vet Surgery needs more acknowledgment	Noted: Visual impact of major industries underplayed - Noted. Better liason - noted. Vets listed under Economy on page 35. Liaison with businesses falls outside the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan - can not give more weight to one business over another.	
		·	change providing and suggested facilitate	Agreed: Objective 4 change provide to facilitate	Changes made to this
REG1453		E Objective Economy	Introduction - add "homeworking"	Agreed: Add developments would be supported where they have evidenced that they have made provision within homes for home working including internal space, availability of power points and telephone points to allow for flexible working arrangements.	Added new Home Working Policy to Economy - E3

REG1407	E1	3.ECONOMY	Jobs for local people are needed in North Tawton to sustain housing provision. Enforcement for industrial land provision at Batheway & Woollen Mill and local employment is needed to reduce the work commute.	Noted: Policies E1 & E2 address this	Y	
REG1411	E1	3.ECONOMY	Illegal parking in town square	Noted: Concerns noted however parking enforcement cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group and DCC parking enforcement	Y	
REG1411	E1	3.ECONOMY	12 months marketing too short - 24 months better (rate reduction mentioned again)	Not agreed: The Neighbourhood Plan group were advised that 12 months	Y	
REG1418	E1	E1	Please support Woollen Mill project aims - could use Denbrook money for this.	Noted	Y	Woollen Mill project no longer in existance. Woollen Mill is an allocated site in HO1
REG1429	E1	E1 & HO1	Woollen mill development should be a priority ahead of any green field development.		Υ	The Woollen Mill is an allocated site in HO1
REG1433	E1	E1	Employment opportunities are insufficient to provide work for new residents.	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan seeks to encourage new employment opportunities through Economy policies	?	

				Noted: Employment Land at		
				Batheway is currently on the open		
			Plan for small industrial units on	market. Neighbourhood Plan seeks		
			Wainhomes site should be revived.	to encourage new employment		
			More sites needed for small	opportunities through Economy		This remains an employment
REG1434	E1	E1/E2/E3	business units.	policies	Υ	site in policy E1
			change to planning permission			
			references rather than SHLAA			
REG1453	E1	E1	reference	Agreed: change references		References changed
			If government policy no longer			
			supports mixed use sites then this			
			plan policy should be reviewed,	Noted: Review policy and evidence		
REG1409	E2	3.ECONOMY	perhaps altered or abandoned	base	Υ	Policy E2 has been removed
				Noted: support for the Woollen Mill		
				in Housing theme p 47, Economy E1		The Woollen Mill is an
REG1427	E2	3.ECONOMY	Would like to see Wool Mill restored	& on p39 in Economy.		allocated site in HO1
				Noted: support for the Woollen Mill		
				in Housing theme p 47, Economy E1		The woollen Mill is an
REG1428	E2	3.ECONOMY	Would like to see Wool Mill restored	& on p39 in Economy.		allocated site in HO1
				Not agree: We are only asking for		
			careful consideration is advised	developers to consider the		
REG1453	E2	E2	before proceeding with this policy	opportunities for mixed use.		
				Noted: The intention of the policy		
				was to provide support for live work		
				units which would be registered for		
				business use/business rates and not		
			Would an office in a spare bedroom	solely for office use of space/rooms		Added new Home Working
REG1453	E3	E3	be deemed as a live-work unit?	within a property.		Policy to Economy - E3

REG1402	E4	E/CO	Need more shops, community run store in empty premises e.g. greengrocer / hardware	Noted: Please see CO1 & E4 which supports the protection of local shops	Υ	
REG1425	E 4	3. ECONOMY	Lack of parking causing respondent to shop out of town. This leads to closure of retail businesses such as butcher	Noted: Please see CO1 & E4 which supports the protection of local shops and E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments and E5 transport and parking to improve parking provision and access to local facilities		
REG1442	E 4	3.ECONOMY	To alleviate parking problem in square move the hub of North Tawton; build small shopping mall with parking. Land designated by Batheway for Medical Centre would be ideal	Noted	Y	
REG1449	E 4	Economy E4	filling shops (old Pharmacy) whilst unoccupied - short term leases	Noted: Please see CO1 & E4 which supports the protection of local shops. However the Neighbourhood Plan does not have influence over existing privately owned properties or their tenure		

REG1420	E5	3.ECONOMY	Secure public transport links - buses to Bow Medical Centre. Lack of parking/Town Square - enforcement of parking regulations	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan process cannot influence public transport provision. Concerns noted; however parking enforcement cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group and DCC parking enforcement	Y	
REG1429	E 5	E 5	Support policy that all new housing must have off street parking provision. Older parts of town need more parking, requiring some demolition of properties. Will be pursuing with Exeter Diocese re: future of rectory site.	Noted: refer to the NTTC Transport & Parking working group	Y	
REG1434		IN3 E5	Every effort should be made to address parking. Additional parking could be achieved at entrance to the park.	Noted: Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments	Y	

REG1442	E5	3.ECONOMY	Questionnaire response statistics might be different when trial Okehampton - Exeter train service starts, more people would likely use service for work, rather than social reasons as indicated by survey results	Noted	Υ	
REG1428	E5 / E6	3. ECONOMY		Agreed: this could be seen as a contradiction so remove sentence on page 7 'these include issues such as transportthe Plan'. Where appropriate transport issues relating to development are addressed within the relevant policies. Other transport issues will be referred to the transport and parking working group.		Changes made to the introduction
REG1405	E 7	3. ECONOMY	Junction to Batheway Estate needs re-thinking, 3 accidents already and pedestrian access to town upgraded	Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath, junction and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted; however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process.		This issue is being addressed by the NTTC transport & parking working group.

REG1407	F7	3.ECONOMY	Walking route vital pavements too	Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath, junction and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process.	Υ	
REG1407	E7	3.ECONOIVIT	Silidii	Noted: Concerns noted however	ī	
REG1433	E7	E7 IN4	Chaotic traffic and parking. Mini roundabout at Moor view is a hazard and speed limit signs inappropriately located.	Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group.	ŗ	
REG1434	E7	E7 IN4	Street light needed between Strawberry Field and Wainhomes site. It is difficult to see pedestrians on the narrow pavement.	Noted: Town Council currently in negotiation with Devon Highways	Υ	
	-		30mph sign in the wrong place (entrance to town from de Bathe Cross); should be 100m nearer to de			
REG1434	E7	E7	Bathe cross.	negotiation with Devon Highways	Υ	

				Noted: Policies EE1 to EE4		Policy EE1 has now been
				encourages sustainable and		removed as is a strategic
			Lack of renewable energy	appropriate renewable energy		policy. EE4 wording has been
REG1401	EE	EE	development	development within the area	Υ	changed.
REG1418	EE	1.3 'North Devon Biosphere' 2.2 EE	Any examples of best practice in other communities?	Noted: For more information on community energy schemes in Devon please contact DARE (Devon Association for Renewable Energy) www.devondare.org	Y	
REG1442	EE1		can easily be stored, unlike photovoltaic. Water heating has major impact on household budgets	does not denote solar thermal or photovoltaics allowing for the installation of either technologies, this is also to reflect the responses to the community questionnaire where	Y	
			Ŭ			
				Noted: The comment from WDBC		
				was "A laudable aspiration, and		
			additional technical standards above			Policy EE1 has now been
			adopted local plan therefore this	that will shortly be tested in		removed as is a strategic
REG1452	EE1	EE1	policy should be deleted.	examination"		policy.

REG1453	EE1	EE1	A laudable aspiration, and accords with emerging JLP position that will shortly be tested in examination. Consideration again needs to be given to viability, and the plan should not be too prescriptive in terms of PV: significant carbon savings can be achieved through the use of ground and air source heat pumps	Noted: The inclusion of "rooftop solar panels" is not prescriptive in terms of which solar technology (neither PV or thermal has been specified) and solar was included due to the community questionnaire response noting an interest in solar for new developments. We would of course welcome all low carbon technologies if they were in accordance with the other policies and provided a 20% reduction.	This policy has now been removed on the advice of the WD NP officer as it's strategic rather than a local NP issue
REG1453	EE2	EE2	Pleased to see this policy included: well written and justified. Requirement iv) could be applied to all development rather than just energy proposals.	Agree	

			A good positive policy, but it needs to clearly differentiate between wind and other technologies – Government policy from June 2016	Agreed: Caveat added that no wind power sites were identified through the Neighbourhood Plan process or any desire for such installations through the community consultation, therefore no further wind power developments will take place within the Neighbourhood Plan area due to		
			requires new wind turbines to be delivered on allocated sites only,	national planning policy. Although this could be reviewed in the future		
			either in Local Plans or	should a potential wind site come		
REG1453	EE3	EE3	neighbourhood plans.	forward to be considered.		
REG1411	EE4	6.ENERGY & EN	Completely support & also small scale renewable energy projects	Agreed	Υ	
				Noted: This is to be changed to		
				low/zero-carbon housing (including Passivhaus standards) where the		
			Neighbourhood Plan cannot require	dwellings low/zero carbon		
			additional technical standards above	_		
			adopted local plan therefore this	assessed by an independent		
REG1452	EE4	EE4	policy should be deleted.	organisation.		Changes made to Policy

REG1453	EE4		chains or trades be able to support this requirement? Would the local community support it if it meant that local materials were not used or out-of-area companies employed to	Noted: Do we change this to low/zero-carbon housing (including Passivhaus standards) where the dwellings low/zero carbon credentials can be evidenced and assessed by an independent		changes made to wording of policy
REG1402	GEN	Recycling	more recycling facilities, especially plastics	Noted: WDBC curb side waste collection accepts some plastics. In addition to this an award winning community plastic recycling scheme runs on the 3rd Saturday of each month in the NT town centre car park. Waste collection is not within the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan but is dealt with by the local authority.	Υ	
			Land owned by Peninsula properties			
REG1403	CEN	General	(Depot) subject to two planning application.	Noted	Υ	

0511					
GEN			Noted		
GEN			Agreed	Υ	
GEN	General	Support the draft plan	Agreed	Υ	
GEN	General	Parking issues	Noted	N/A	
GEN	General	Support the plan	Agreed	Υ	
		There is a need for a level of housing			
		development in order to maintain			
		services and facilities. However we			
		must have a preference for			
		previously developed sites and a			
		protection for high grade			
		agricultural land as expressed in the			
Gen		plan	Noted	Υ	
GEN		N/A form returned unavailable	Noted	N/A	
Gen	General	Support the draft plan	Noted	Υ	
Gen	General	Support the draft plan	Noted	Υ	
Gen	General	fully supports the plan	Noted	Υ	
		A big thank you to the			
		Neighbourhood Plan for the hours of			
		work involved in providing such a			
Gen	general	comprehensive survey	Noted	Υ	
			Noted: Currently this land is on the		
Gen		Car park on medical centre land	open market .		
		Congratulations on a very thorough			
		document, covers most bases,			
		attractively laid out, relatively easy			
Gen	General	to read	Noted	Υ	
	GEN	GEN General GEN General GEN General GEN General GEN General GEN General GEN	GEN General Welcome emerging plan GEN General Support the draft plan GEN General Support the draft plan GEN General Parking issues GEN General Support the plan There is a need for a level of housing development in order to maintain services and facilities. However we must have a preference for previously developed sites and a protection for high grade agricultural land as expressed in the plan GEN N/A form returned unavailable Gen General Support the draft plan Gen General Support the draft plan Gen General fully supports the plan A big thank you to the Neighbourhood Plan for the hours of work involved in providing such a comprehensive survey Gen Car park on medical centre land Congratulations on a very thorough document, covers most bases, attractively laid out, relatively easy	GEN General Welcome emerging plan Noted GEN General Support the draft plan Agreed GEN General Support the draft plan Agreed GEN General Support the draft plan Agreed GEN General Parking issues Noted GEN General Support the plan Agreed There is a need for a level of housing development in order to maintain services and facilities. However we must have a preference for previously developed sites and a protection for high grade agricultural land as expressed in the plan Noted GEN N/A form returned unavailable Noted Gen General Support the draft plan Noted Gen General Support the draft plan Noted Gen General fully supports the plan Noted Gen General fully supports the plan Noted Gen General fully supports the hours of work involved in providing such a comprehensive survey Noted Gen Car park on medical centre land Congratulations on a very thorough document, covers most bases, attractively laid out, relatively easy	GEN General Welcome emerging plan Noted Y GEN General Support the draft plan Agreed Y GEN General Support the draft plan Agreed Y GEN General Support the draft plan Agreed Y GEN General Support the plan Agreed Y GEN General Support the plan Agreed Y GEN General Support the plan Agreed Y There is a need for a level of housing development in order to maintain services and facilities. However we must have a preference for previously developed sites and a protection for high grade agricultural land as expressed in the plan Noted Y GEN N/A form returned unavailable Noted N/A Gen General Support the draft plan Noted Y Gen General Support the draft plan Noted Y Gen General Support the draft plan Noted Y Gen General fully supports the plan Noted Y A big thank you to the Neighbourhood Plan for the hours of work involved in providing such a Car park on medical centre land Congratulations on a very thorough document, covers most bases, attractively laid out, relatively easy

REG1449 REG1450	Gen Gen	general general	Would have liked to see list of hard objectives and targets by a specified date - a bullet list of target achievements in an executive summary would enthuse readers supports the plan	Noted: Unfortunately we are unable to create a timetable of hard objectives when development within the parish is subject to many outside influences including the wider economy Noted	Υ	
REG1451		general	partial support, some opposition	Noted	Partial	
REG1452	Gen	general	Number of fundamental concerns with the draft Plan. Restrictive designations and policies without underlying evidential basis, is in direct conflict with strategic policies of the development plan.	Not Agreed: We have used a robust evidence base both from the local community response to consultation and national policy. The plan has been written to be in accordance with the NPPF, draft emerging JLP & WDBC Local Plan. We have not been advised by WDBC that we are not in conformity with the development plan	N	
REG1439	GEN	General	Congratulations on engaging with the Neighbourhood Plan process	Noted	N/A	
REG1444	GEN	general	congratulates the community on its progress to date and wish it well in the making of its Plan.	Noted	Υ	

но	Housing	Depot site - 75% respondents against its development - should remain as it is.	Noted: Please see the call for sites section (theme 4)within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site as a community asset.		
	.		Noted: The land at "the Butts" within		
		purchase land at back of fire station	the Neighbourhood Plan is		
		and Butts field for development -	designated as Local Green Space and		
		proximity to Gostwyke Close, town	is outside of the settlement		
НО	Housing	car park and shops.	•		
			_		
		Danat danala masant antan da			
			-		
		· ·	·		
нО					
110	riousing Obje	planning application	the site		
		Lack of low cost and rentable	Noted: Please see definition of "Affordable Housing" in JLP for more information. The last housing need survey was carried out in 2014 and showed the need was adequately met at that time. The Housing Needs Survey will be repeated in the next 2 years. High grade agricultural land is protected within the Neighbourhood		Updated information regarding housing need within North Tawton was provided by Alex Rehaag (WDBC) from Devon Home Choice in July 2018. The Affordable Housing
					section for Policy HO3 has
НО	НО	farmland when food is imported.	developed sites. Ref: HO1	Υ	been updated to reflect this.
	НО	HO Housing HO Housing - Obje	against its development - should remain as it is. purchase land at back of fire station and Butts field for development - proximity to Gostwyke Close, town car park and shops. Depot development extends settlement boundary - cites WD strategic planning response to the planning application HO Housing - Obje Lack of low cost and rentable accommodation (affordable is meaningless term) Building on	Bepot site - 75% respondents against its development - should remain as it is. HO Housing remain as it is. HO Housing remain as it is. Noted: The land at "the Butts" within the Neighbourhood Plan is designated as Local Green Space and is outside of the settlement boundary. Agreed: This site is outside of the WDBC settlement boundary, it was included in Neighbourhood Plan settlement boundary - cites WD strategic planning response to the HO Housing - Obje planning application HO Housing - Obje planning application Settlement boundary due to an outstanding planning application on the site Noted: Please see definition of "Affordable Housing" in JLP for more information. The last housing need survey was carried out in 2014 and showed the need was adequately met at that time. The Housing Needs Survey will be repeated in the next 2 years. High grade agricultural land is protected within the Neighbourhood Plan and priority for development is given to brownfield or previously	Depot site - 75% respondents supports the Environment Trust in against its development - should remain as it is. HO Housing remain as it is. Noted: The land at "the Butts" within the Neighbourhood Plan is designated as Local Green Space and is outside of the settlement boundary car park and shops. HO Housing Depot development extends settlement boundary - cites WD strategic planning response to the HO Housing - Obje Planning application HO Housing - Obje Lack of low cost and rentable accommodation (affordable is meaningless term) Building on Section (theme 4)within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site as a community asset. Noted: The land at "the Butts" within the Neighbourhood Plan is designated as Local Green Space and is outside of the settlement boundary. Agreed: This site is outside of the wDBC settlement boundary, it was included in Neighbourhood Plan settlement boundary due to an outstanding planning application on the site

		Need safe and accessible footpath	promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted however Highway		
		from Batheway to town, Speed - De	through the Neighbourhood Plan		
нО	HO/IN			v	
		Datile Cross to town.	process.		
	_				
	•				
		meets this objective	Noted	Υ	
	•	9 Support - Depot scheme supports			
НО	& 9	this objective	Noted	Υ	
		Supports conclusions on page 48 'should the bidand the			
	Housing - Call	community' and reiterates that their			This section has now been
	_	•			changed following discussion
		traffic issues can be overcome	Noted	Υ	with WDBC
					HO1 site allocations are small
		No more "large scale housing			sites, HO2 supports smaller
НО		developments	developments	Υ	sites
	но	Housing - Objectives 8 49 Housing - Objectives 8 HO & 9 Housing - Objectives 8 HO & 9	Need safe and accessible footpath from Batheway to town. Speed - De Bathe Cross to town. Housing - Objectives 8 HO Beach Cross to town. Housing - Objectives 8 Housing - Objective Support - Depot scheme supports this objective Supports conclusions on page 48 'should the bidand the community' and reiterates that their traffic report concludes access and traffic issues can be overcome	access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. HO HO/IN Bathe Cross to town. Housing - Objectives 8 8 Support - proposed Depot scheme meets this objective Housing - Objectives 8 9 Support - Depot scheme supports this objective HO & 9 Support - Depot scheme supports this objective Noted Noted Support conclusions on page 48 'should the bidand the community' and reiterates that their traffic report concludes access and traffic issues can be overcome Noted Agreed: Please see HO1 which supports small scale housing	promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. HO HO/IN Bathe Cross to town. Housing - Objectives 8 HO & 9 Housing - Objectives 8 HO & 9 Support - proposed Depot scheme meets this objective Housing - Objectives 8 HO & 9

				Not agreed: The Neighbourhood Plan		
				consultation and that of the planning		
				application at the depot are two		
				different processes. The		
				Neighbourhood Plan reflects the		
				views of the community provided		
				through the Neighbourhood Plan		
				consultation process. Please also see		
				•		
				the call for sites section (theme		
				4)within housing where the		
			residents from respondents to the	Neighbourhood Plan supports the		
			planning application process (60	Environment Trust in it's right to bid		
252444				for the depot site as a community	.,	
REG1411	НО	4.HOUSING	development of depot site	asset.	Υ	
				Noted: Please see HO1 which		
				supports small scale housing		
			Concerned re:over-development -	developments. Please see our		
REG1420	НО	4.HOUSING	infrastructure unable to cope	objectives in theme 5	Υ	
				Not Agreed: The Neighbourhood Plan		
				is not a tool to stop development,		
				but rather create a plan for the		
				future of North Tawton which will		
				involve some level of sustainable		
			North Tawton is not suitable to have			
REG1424	НО	НО	more houses	needs of local residents	Υ	
				Noted: Assessment of each site listed		
				within the Call for Sites process has		
			variety of reasons to both sites:	been carried out using an objective		Assessment matrix added as
REG1427	НО	4.HOUSING	conservation area etc.	assessment matrix.		an appendix to the plan

			Call for sites process - Object for			
			variety of reasons to both sites:			
REG1428	НО	4.HOUSING	conservation area etc.	Noted		
REG1430	НО	4. HOUSING	Supports statement about pressure on infrastructure on page 46.	Noted	Υ	
REG1432	НО	4.HOUSING	Acknowledge Neighbourhood Plan's support of Trusts desire to purchase depot site in Devonshire Gardens and its intention to designate 500sqm of site as local green space	Noted	N	
REG1432		4.HOUSING	Oppose development of depot site for housing on the grounds that this would contravene the result of the consultation on the call for sites (75% of respondents did not want to see the site developed)	Noted: Assessment of each site listed within the Call for Sites process has	N	Assessment matrix added as an appendix to the plan
REG1432	НО	4.HOUSING	The reference to the Environmental Trust on page 48 should be amended to read "concerns were also raised about their ability to fund the purchase of this site should it become available with planning permission for housing; if the site is to remain in the plan	Noted: added to this section of the Housing theme	N	This section has been changed - no reference to this sentence now

			We question the designation of this			
			site as a brownfield site. WDBC			
			planners have indicated to the trust			
			that they no longer consider it as			
			such and the principal landscapes			
			officer has recognised it as an			
REG1432	НО	4.HOUSING	important site for flora and fauna	noted	N	
			No largescale housing developments			
			in the near future as the town			HO1 site allocations are small
			centre traffic has reached saturation	Agreed: see HO1, Vision, Housing		sites, HO2 supports smaller
REG1434	НО	HO IN4	point.	Objectives, IN4	Υ	sites
				Noted: Employment Land at		
				Batheway is currently on the open		
				market. Neighbourhood Plan seeks		
				to encourage new employment		
			Without local employment it is	opportunities through Economy		
			difficult to keep shops and services	policies and retain the retail		
REG1442	НО	4. HOUSING (o	open as is now happening	opportunity through CO1	Υ	
				Noted: The plan seeks to represent		
				the requirement for different types		
				of housing and tenure as indicated in		HO1 and HO2 are now policies
REG1443	НО	НО	Include low cost flats to rent or buy	HO1 & HO2	Υ	HO2 and HO3.

REG1453	но	Housing	Council would like to see allocated sites, following the call for sites and with development specified and delivery aims	Noted: Meeting was arranged with WD Strategic planners to discuss this further. Assessment of the sites included within the original Call for Sites took place using the JLP Assessment grid. Sites will now be allocated in the Plan based on the outcome of this assessment process. This forms policy HO1 Site allocation for Housing		Assessment matrix used for each site in Call for sites process - see Appendix HO7. Policy HO1 allocates sites.
REG1453	но	Housing	Questions whether Devonshire Gardens should be described as "Brown Field"	Noted: "A brownfield land is an area of land or premises that has been previously used, but has subsequently become vacant, derelict or contaminated. The term derived from its opposite, undeveloped or 'greenfield land"		Continue to refer to this site as 'brownfield'
REG1453	НО	Housing		Noted: We should include the Mill as an allocated site again as housing, mixed use and B1 business.		This has been included as an allocated site in HO1
REG1436	но	4. HOUSING	Offer of land for development	Noted: the "Call for Sites" process is currently closed, this will be reviewed in line with the West Devon 5 Year Land Supply. This land is outside of the settlement boundary. If developed it would be viewed as a 'windfall' site	Y	

			No more housing estates, regrets	Noted: Please see HO1 which		
			purchasing a poor quality home built			
REG1402	HO1	но	by Wain Homes	developments	Υ	Ho1 changed to policy HO2
			,	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan's		5 ,
				remit is to determine new		
			Proposal to add parking spaces to	developments and cannot influence		
			existing properties should be	existing properties or their parking		
REG1404	HO1	4. HOUSING	supported if in character of property		Υ	
			Regarding planned 100 new houses,			
			we feel the builder should bring			
			existing new builds up to standard			
REG1405	HO1	4. HOUSING	before building more	Noted		
				Agreed: Please see HO1 which		HO1 site allocations are small
				supports small scale housing		sites, HO2 supports smaller
REG1410	HO1	4. HOUSING	No big building plots of 100 houses	developments	Υ	sites
			Wish to consider adding a policy	Agreed & Noted for additional		
			that makes clear to developers that	inclusion in HO1 in accordance with		
			new development should provide a	the NPPF which states that a new		
			net gain in biodiversity in the parish	development should not have a		
5564440			wherever possible. (para 109 of	detrimental effect on biodiversity or	.,	Added to HO1 which is now
REG1413	HO1	HOUSING	Neighbourhood PlanPF)	wildlife, to strengthen this policy	Υ	HO2 in the ammended plan
				Not Agreed: The Neighbourhood Plan		
				is not a tool to stop development,		
				but rather create a plan for the		
				future of North Tawton which will		
				involve some level of sustainable		
			North Tawton can not support any	development which must meet the		
RFG1425	HO1	4. HOUSING	,	•		see ahove
REG1425	HO1	4. HOUSING	more housing	needs of local residents		see above

REG1427	HO1	4.HOUSING	Wish not to support development (Housing) on the Environmental Trust Site at Devonshire Gardens	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan consultation and that of the planning application at the depot are two different processes. The Neighbourhood Plan reflects the views of the community provided through the Neighbourhood Plan consultation process. Please also see the call for sites section (theme 4)within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site as a community asset.	
			Express disappointment at the lack of reference to the MCTI or KPMG	Noted: However both	
REG1427	HO1	4.HOUSING	strategy document	documents/initiatives are not current	
			Wish not to supposit dove laws and	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan consultation and that of the planning application at the depot are two different processes. The Neighbourhood Plan reflects the views of the community provided through the Neighbourhood Plan consultation process. Please also see the call for sites section (theme 4) within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the	
			Wish not to support development (Housing) on the Environmental	Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site as a community	
REG1428	HO1	4.HOUSING	Trust Site at Devonshire Gardens	asset.	

			Express disappointment at the lack			
			of reference to the MCTI or KPMG	Noted: However both		
REG1428	HO1	4.HOUSING	strategy document	documents/initiatives are not current		
			Smaller developments around town	Noted: HO1 favours smaller		
			are preferable to expanding the	developments; settlement boundary		
			town in one direction only. One	maps 1.6a&b Vision and Objective 8		HO1 site allocations are small
			directional expansion tends to	seeks to prevent development of a		sites, HO2 supports smaller
REG1431	HO1	4. HOUSING	create a dormitory town	dormitory town.	Υ	sites
			Not all sites will lend themselves to			
			density of 30 dwellings per hectare			
			especially where consideration of			
			historic character and heritage			
			assets applies - important to have			
			flexibility on a site by site basis.			
			Safeguards of enhancement of the			
			historic environment in the Plan can			
			adequately ensure that no	Noted: This average density guide		
			significant environmental effects	was proposed to the Neighbourhood		
REG1444	HO1	HO1	(harm) need occur.	Plan by WDBC		
				Noted: Please see E7 which		
				promotes safe footpath and cycle		
				access to new developments; all new		
			Depot development doesn't offer	developments would need to		
REG1451	HO1	HO1 (vi)	this	address these requirements		

			Policy arbitrary and without justification or evidential basis. Larger developments can attract greater CIL/106 contributions. Limited affordable housing for small developments. First 6 points of response assumes that policy only relates to 12 or less dwellings. Questions justifications for points	Not Agreed: Policy HO1 is not specifying developments of fewer than 12. The Neighbourhood Plan instead details points where larger developments will be supported where they are in accordance with the requirements set out within the policy. Following advice from WDBC we are changing this policy to encourage development in smaller sites of 0.5 hectares and with a density of less than 30 dwellings per hectare. Supporting evidence for the historic size of developments within the town has been carried out and will be added as an evidence base	Policy HO1 has been changed to reflect this (now policy HO2
REG1452	HO1	HO1	addressed in policy.	within our appendices	in ammended plan)
REG1453	H01	HO1 iii	Can not require rainwater harvesting as expensive to install and will deter developers	Agreed: drop iii to Developers are encouraged to:	Wording changed
REG1453	HO1			Agreed: Current HO1 vii & viii - Developers are encouraged to: should come before other points I	Wording changed
			This should be site sizes rather than		3 3
REG1453	HO1	HO1 vii	plot sizes	Agreed: on first use of "plot" to "site"	Wording changed
REG1453	HO1	HO1 vii	12 seems arbitrary and suggest using 30 dwellings per hectare.	Noted: utilise small sites of 0.5 of a hectare	Wording changed

				Noted: Separate point to be in-		
				keeping with the traditional		
				character and plot sizes associated		
REG1453	HO1	HO1 vii		with North Tawton		Wording changed
				Noted: iv * please see our detailed		
				policy referring to parking in HO7		
REG1453	HO1			which must be adhered to		
				Noted: vii * please see our detailed		
				policy referring to parking in HO5		
REG1453	HO1			which must be adhered to		
				Noted: Please see policy IN4.		
			Traffic in North Street "not safe" and	· · ·		
			no new developments should be	transport plans will be essential in		
			made at the depot site due to poor	order for planning permission to be		
			cycle or pedestrian access to the	granted and are linked to specific		
RFG1411	HO1. HO2.	4. HOUSING	town centre	developments.	Υ	
NEOT III	1101, 1102,	1.110001110	town centre	истегоритетия:	•	
			Neighbourhood Plan needs only to			
			be in general conformity to JLP in			
			relation to 30% affordable for 11 +			
			dwellings, can set its own polices	Agreed: Please see HO2 which offers		
			reflecting local need. Reword HO2	sufficient flexibility to meet local		
			to offer more flexibility e.g.	needs and HO6 for our support of		
			including assisted living within			HO2 is now policy HO3 and
DEC1402	1102	1103		residential supported care and	V	· · ·
REG1403	HUZ	HO2	affordable criteria.	sheltered homes	Υ	HO6 has become HO5

			In accordance with the JLP North Tawton has met it's affordable housing development requirements	Agreed: But a new housing need survey is due within the next 2 years. The Neighbourhood Plan is not a tool to stop development, but rather create a plan for the future of North Tawton which will involve some level		
			with current developments and	of sustainable development which		
REG1411	HO2	4.HOUSING	should not be subject to further affordable housing.	must meet the needs of local residents.	Υ	
REG1453		HO2,3,4	anordable nodsing.	Noted: Combine these policies together		New Affordable Housing poicy HO3, combining these 3 policies
REG1407	НО3	4.HOUSING	Need to stop 600 houses proposed which would be influenced by the quick adoption of the Neighbourhood Plan	Noted		HO1 site allocation doesn't include Batheway later phases.
REG1442	НО3	4. HOUSING	Reasonable time is vague needs a specific time e.g. 3 months to be stipulated	Not Agreed: We are guided in this policy by WDBC policy	Υ	
				Not Agreed: An analysis of garden sizes for the newer developments within the town were carried out and this evidence base has now been added as an appendix. We have made some changes to this policy to make it less prescriptive, but rear amenity space was noted as important in the public consultation		changes made to wording of
REG1452	HO5	HO5	garden size	and so this policy will be retained		policy

REG1453	HO5	HO5	Aim of policy appreciated but requirements too prescriptive. Suggested external space is provided at a certain proportion of the national space standards for internal floor space - expressed as a preference rather than a requirement as acceptable sites could be prevented from being developed.			Changes made to the wording of the policy
KEG1455	поз	поз		overlooked by a willdow Holli II.		of the policy
REG1403	HO6	HO6	Supports HO6 - development proposed includes assisted living units	Noted	Υ	
REG1453	HO6	НО6	Supported although may need to be more explicit on detail, on whether this is open market or affordable	Agreed: Add "local level of need" and offer a mixture of tenure opportunities		Changed wording to HO6 which is now HO5 in ammended plan
REG1411	НО7	4.HOUSING	Traffic in North Street "not safe" and no new developments should be made at the depot site as it will increase traffic	Noted: Please see policy IN4. Requirements for traffic and transport plans will be essential in order for planning permission to be granted and are linked to specific developments.		
			Transport impact assessment survey should be carried out at peak times which should be specified e.g.	Add " A comprehensive and well designed transport impact		Wording added to HO7 which
REG1442	H07	4. HOUSING	'school run time'	assessment" to HO7	Υ	is now HO6 in ammended plan

REG1449	HO7	Housing HO7	Support for charging points	Noted		
				Not agreed: The Neighbourhood Plan		
				consultation and that of the planning		
				application at the depot are two		
				different processes. The		
				Neighbourhood Plan reflects the		
				views of the community provided		
				through the Neighbourhood Plan		
				consultation process. Please also see		
				the call for sites section (theme		
				4)within housing where the		
				Neighbourhood Plan supports the		
			support of Depot development	Environment Trust in it's right to bid		
		Housing -	when 60 objections breaches this	for the depot site as a community		
REG1451	H07	Objective 7	objective	asset.		
				Noted: Concerns noted however		
				Highway infrastructure cannot be		
				influenced through the		
				Neighbourhood Plan process.		
				However The Neighbourhood Plan		
				acknowledges traffic and congestion		
				issues in NT and will refer comments		
				to the Traffic & Transport working		
REG1451		HO7	parking concerns in North St	group.		
REG1453	H07	H07	Policy supported	Agreed		
			No more large scale housing	Noted: Please see HO1 which		HO1 site allocations are small
			development. Supports small scale	supports small scale housing		sites, HO2 supports smaller
REG1418	HO8	HO8	and self-build	developments	Υ	sites

			Within North Tawton there should			
REG1433	НО8	4.HOUSING	be a policy of small scale building companies or individuals	Not agreed : not within the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan		
REG1446	H08	Housing HO8 & Economy E3	Combined facets of self build & live/work development can be given consideration with probable benefits to some parking & traffic volumes	Agreed: Priority site is a self build site on Boucher's Hill see page 48 and there are already sites with outline planning permission for live/work units within the town		Bouchers Hill site has now got outline planning permission.
			Supported although could this be	Agreed: Priority site is a self build site		This site now has outline planning permission so is
REG1453	НО8	но8	strengthened with an allocated site	on Boucher's Hill see page 48		included as an agreed site
REG1446	1	Infrastructure	axis - increase in traffic through Market St and North St should be	Noted: Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group. Noted: Please see HO1 which supports small scale housing developments	?	
				Noted: Concerns noted however		
REG1449	I	Infrastructure	Improved signage for lorries	Highway inworking group.		
REG1418	IN	IN	No direct bus to Okehampton	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan process cannot influence public transport provision	Υ	Refered to NTTC Transport & Parking working Group
REG1433	IN	IN	Road surfaces are poor with potholes and blocked drains.	Noted: beyond the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan	?	

REG1443	IN	Parking (IN)	Increase parking at top of High St (use park?) Speed reduction through town 20 mph . Clearer HGV signage/or new road avoiding town and bridge.	traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the	Υ	
REG1448	IN	IN	Bus service - not convenient to Bow for Drs drop in & not direct to Okehampton (hospital/shopping)	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan process cannot influence public transport provision		
REG1448	IN	IN	suggestions for car parks - Steve Blakeman's land North St; Bob Barratt's land North St; extending town car park; extend High St car park.	Noted: Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group. Noted: Please see HO1 which supports small scale housing developments		HO1 site allocations are small sites, HO2 supports smaller sites
REG1401		IN1	Having to go to Bow to see Dr	Not agreed: NT surgery is open for six sessions per week. The Neighbourhood Plan supports improved medical facilities within the town Ref:IN1	Y	
REG1402	IN1	IN	Dentist not taking on new patients. More new houses will exacerbate problems like this, NT does not have the infrastructure to support more houses	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan supports improved medical facilities within the town Ref:IN1	Y	

REG1407	IN1	5.INFRASTRUC	Essential to keep and improve medical facilities in North Tawton (ref very elderly grandparents)	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan supports improved medical facilities within the town Ref:IN1	Y	
REG1433	IN1	IN1	Medical service has deteriorated with residents having to travel to Bow for treatment.	Not agreed: There is a medical service in North Tawton see IN1 & CO1	?	
REG1446	IN1	Infrastructure	With regards to health services the only development desirable would be something to improve facilities at Devonshire House.	Not agreed: The Neighbourhood Plan supports improved medical facilities within the town Ref:IN1		
REG1453	IN1	IN1	Supported	Noted		
REG1452	IN2	IN2	Repeat of local plan policies therefore policy should be deleted.	Not Agreed: This is an important issue for residents and we wish to evidence this within our plan		
REG1453	IN2	IN2	Not necessary as part of the development process	Noted: This is an important issue for residents and we wish to evidence this within our plan		
REG1453	IN3	IN3	This policy repeats HO7 - Section 106 can not be used unless there is a clear impact resulting from the development	Noted: Car parking is the major issue for residents of North Tawton, any new development would impact on the car parking provision within the town and so section 106 monies must be used for this provision.		Changes made to policy IN3

REG1401	IN4	IN4, IN3	Congestion on road from parked cars. Traffic speeds excess of 20mph at school times in Exeter Street. Effect of increased traffic on air quality.	Noted: Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group.	Y	
REG1412	IN4	IN4	Sort out speed limit between de Bathe Cross and new development. No further development at Batheway, current road access on site not wide enough.	Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath, junction and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process.	Y	
REG1419	IN4	IN4	Well Done! Speed limit in town needs consideration especially from De Bathe to Roundabout.	Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath, junction and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process.	Υ	

REG1438	IN4	5. INFRASTRUC	Evening parking in the town is a problem. Maybe use doctors parking area or school area for weekends, evenings and school holidays	Noted: However Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group	Y	
REG1451	IN/A	IN4	Impact of Depot development on Square and North St, and parking in the town.	Noted: Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working		
REG1453		IN4	the town.	Noted: Traffic congestion is a major issue for residents of North Tawton, and we feel it is important to retain this policy to be reflective of the views of the community		
REG1427	Intro		Does not include reference to "Wool & Serge Trade" in North Tawton	Noted: Add a sentence in the introduction on p 9		Sentence added in the introduction
REG1428	Intro		Does not include reference to "Wool & Serge Trade" in North Tawton	Noted: add a sentence in the introduction on p 9		Sentence added in the introduction

			Strongly supports amended settlement boundary - which should include previously developed land and brownfield land on edge of previous settlement boundaries.			
REG1403	Мар	Map 1.6		Noted	Υ	
			Boundary at Bouchers Hill correctly			Final map has been
REG1422	MAP 6 1.6	Settlement Bo	shown on WDBC JLP	Agreed: Final map to be accurate	Υ	ammended
			No objection to the view that an SEA			
REG1444	SEA	SEA	will not be required	Noted		
REG1453				noted point about innovation		